

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,819 01		01/14/2004	Dmitry A. Noraev		1818	
38583	7590	02/27/2006		EXAMINER		
DMITRY		<b>V</b>	MULVANEY, ELIZABETH EVANS			
16 Penwood New Provid		07974	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1774			
			DATE MAILED, 02/27/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<b>×</b>			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/707,81	19	NORAEV, DMITR	RY A.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Elizabeth I	E. Mulvaney	1774				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months af ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. tutory period will apply and wi will, by statute, cause the apply	HIS COMMUNICA ent, however, may a rep Il expire SIX (6) MONTH lication to become ABA	ATION.  lly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•						
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on .						
·	-	b) This action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from col						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	TO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Fer No(s)/Mail Date	· ·		ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, 39, drawn to a recording medium, classified in class 428, subclass 64.1.
- II. Claims 12-27, 40-42, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 369, subclass 47.55.
- III. Claims 28-38, drawn to a method, classified in class 705, subclass 51.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be used with a different process such as convention reading or writing of a disk.

Inventions II and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product can be made by another apparatus such as a conventional molding apparatus.

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice

Art Unit: 1774

another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used for various PC functions such as reading or writing on a disc.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax number for the organization where the application is assigned is (571) 273-8307. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information retrieval (PAIR) System. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the

Art Unit: 1774

PAIR System, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR System, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Elizabeth Evans Mulvaney

Primary Examiner

Group 1700 571-272-1527